# PPP Mode for Industrial and Infrastructural Development

Touseef Khalid<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Nasir Khan<sup>2</sup>, Shahnawaz Naveed<sup>3</sup>, Mr. Shabidullahh Wazir<sup>4</sup>



#### Citation

Khalid, T., Khan, M. N., Naveed, S., & Wazir, S. (2024). Planning Minister's Task Force for PPP Mode for Industrial and Infrastructural Development. Khyber Journal of Public Policy, 3(3), Autumn, 199-225

### Article Info:

Received: 5/04/2021 Revised: 04/05/2021 Accepted: 2/07/2022 Published:06/07/2022

### Disclaimer:

The opinions expressed in this publication do not implicitly or explicitly reflect the opinions or views of the editors, members, employees, or the organization. The mention of individuals or entities and the materials presented this publication do not imply any opinion by the editors or employees regarding the legal status of any opinion, area, territory, institution, or individual, nor do they guarantee the accuracy, completeness, or suitability of any content or references.

### Copy Right Statement:

© 2022 Khyber Journal of Public Policy

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Abstract:

This study examines the role of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in driving Pakistan's industrial and infrastructural growth. By combining public oversight with private funding and expertise, PPPs address resource constraints, enhance service delivery, and boost economic activities. However, challenges such as complex legal frameworks and political risks hinder their effectiveness. In Pakistan, limited fiscal capacity and rising socioeconomic demands underscore the need for PPPs, particularly under initiatives like the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). Focusing on Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, the study uses qualitative methods, including field visits and interviews, to analyze 108 projects worth \$28.4 billion executed between 1990 and 2019, primarily in energy. Examples like the Karachi-Hyderabad Motorway illustrate PPPs' potential for economic growth, job creation, and innovation. Recommendations include strengthening the PPP framework through legal clarity, risk-sharing mechanisms, international collaboration, and public engagement to ensure sustainable development and investment attraction.

### Key words:

Public-Private Partnerships, Infrastructure development, Economic growth, Risk mitigation, Pakistan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Provincial Management Service, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Email: touseefkhalid29@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Election Commission of Pakistan, Email: nasirecp22@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Provincial Management Service, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Email: <a href="mailto:dhqkpha@gmail.com">dhqkpha@gmail.com</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Faculty Member, Information Group, Government of Pakistan

### Introduction

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) are tools that combine public and private sector resources, expertise, and knowledge to develop infrastructure, boost economic activities, or deliver public services efficiently and effectively. In PPPs, the government provides regulatory oversight, resources, and sometimes financing, while the private sector contributes funding, technical expertise, and management capabilities. PPPs can be applied across various sectors, including social infrastructure, healthcare, energy, and water.

Globally, PPPs are recognized for enhancing resource utilization, increasing efficiency, fostering innovation, and improving service quality while sharing risks. However, challenges such as complex legal frameworks, political risks, and potential conflicts of interest persist. Success depends on careful planning, clear execution, and trust-building with the private sector, ensuring investment security and incentivized returns over the short, medium, and long term. The flow chart of PPP is shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Flow Chart of PPP

In Pakistan, limited fiscal capacity due to a narrow tax base, high debt servicing, defense spending, and other expenses, coupled with 1.8% annual population growth, underscores the need to expand infrastructure and economic zones, particularly under the CPEC project. Despite challenges in funding and resource allocation, PPPs offer a viable solution to bridge resource gaps and address rising socioeconomic demands.

### Problem Statement

Pakistan urgently needs robust infrastructure to support its growing population and economic development. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have emerged as a viable solution to address the infrastructure gap. However, challenges in implementing and managing PPPs hinder their effectiveness. Overcoming these challenges is critical to unlocking the full potential of PPPs and driving sustainable industrial and infrastructure development in the country.

### Literature Review

Data for this research was collected from scholarly articles and relevant policies, which provided insights into issues affecting PPP models in Pakistan, with a particular focus on Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP). This analysis highlights intervention strategies, effective resource utilization, and the impact of policy interventions. Legal and institutional frameworks define the government's efforts to initiate and strengthen PPPs.

A qualitative research methodology was employed. Secondary data from online sources was analyzed to establish a foundational understanding, which informed the identification of key stakeholders. Field visits were conducted to engage stakeholders, such as the PPP Unit in the Planning & Development Department of the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Responses were gathered through semi-structured questionnaires and telephonic interviews.

## Stakeholder Analysis

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in Pakistan have gained momentum as an effective mechanism to foster industrial growth and economic prosperity. This collaborative approach combines public oversight with private-sector efficiency to address infrastructure deficits, improve service delivery, and stimulate economic development. Successful PPP initiatives in sectors such as energy, transportation, healthcare, and education demonstrate their potential to attract investments, integrate advanced technologies, and enhance operational management.

From 1990 to 2019, Pakistan initiated 108 PPP projects, attracting investments totaling approximately \$28.4 billion. Of this, \$24.7 billion was invested, with around 88% directed towards the energy sector, followed by investments in the port industry (Asian Development Bank, 2021).

## PPP Models & Their Applicability to Pakistan

Public-Private Partnership (PPP) contracts vary in model, primarily based on funding mechanisms and the distribution of responsibilities between public and private sectors. These models specify which partner is responsible for financing, ownership, and asset maintenance across different project phases.




Figure 2 Types of PPP Models

The following PPP Models have been in practice in Pakistan.

### **Build-Operate-Transfer (BOT)**

Under this model, a private sector organization plans, develops, and operates a project for a predetermined period before transferring ownership and management to the public sector partner. This approach is commonly applied to infrastructure initiatives such as toll highways, airports, and water treatment facilities.

An example is the Dualization of Sheikhupura-Gujranwala Road, which begins at Pir Bahar Shah Chowk, Sheikhupura, and leads to Gujranwala. The project spans 43 km, with 2-lane dual carriageways, bridges, and toll plazas. It cost PKR 5.241 billion and operates under a 25-year concession period, including a 2-year construction phase. The toll-based fee structure incorporates revenue sharing, and the project became operational on August

14, 2023 (Dualization of Sheikhupura-Gujranwala Road, 2022).

Another example is the Karachi Hyderabad Motorway, a conversion of the 136 km Super Highway into a 6-lane controlled-access motorway at a cost of \$430 million. Awarded in March 2015, the project operates under a 25-year concession period, including 2.5 years for construction. It is expected to generate \$1.43 billion for the National Highway Authority (NHA) over the concession period and is currently in operation (P3A, Public Private Partnership Authority, 2024).

### Design-Build-Finance-Operate (DBFO)

Under this model, the private sector is responsible for designing, constructing, and operating the project for a specific period before transferring it to the public sector. This approach is often used for large-scale infrastructure projects like power plants and airports.

The Swat Expressway-I (M-16) Phase 1 was completed in 2019, costing USD 120 million. Phase 2, spanning 79 km, was approved in 2020 with PKR 20 billion allocated for land acquisition. Swat-I operates under a DBFO model, with 50% of the funding provided as viability gap funding (VGF), including a PKR 5.5 billion grant and PKR 11.5 billion loan (pkha.gov.pk, 2024).

The Ministry of Information & Broadcasting (MoIB) has outsourced its properties in Islamabad for commercial use under the DBFO model. The project involves a private entity implementing and operating the facilities for 20 years, including a 3-year construction period (P3A, Public Private Partnership Authority, 2024).

### Operation and Maintenance (O&M)

In this model, the public sector retains ownership and management while the private sector oversees operation and maintenance.

The Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) has engaged the International Finance Corporation (IFC) for transaction advisory services to outsource operations and maintenance of Islamabad International Airport. The initiative, approved by the P3A Board, aims to improve service quality and operational efficiency (P3A, Public Private Partnership Authority Working Party Rules, 2021).

### Management Outsourcing Model

In this model, the public sector retains asset ownership while outsourcing management and operations to a private entity. This arrangement leverages private-sector expertise in efficiency and innovation through performance-based contracts.

The District Headquarters Hospital Dassu, constructed in 2020, began operations under a PPP on April 1, 2022. The partnership involves the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department and the National Integrated Development Agency (NIDA), which manages operations and provides advanced medical services previously unavailable in the region (SEED, n.d.).

### Lease-Develop-Operate (LDO)

Under this model, a public asset is leased to a private entity for development and operation over a specific period before being returned to the public sector. The Dhabeji Special Economic Zone (DSEZ) in Thatta, Sindh, spans 1,530 acres and operates under the CPEC framework. The project, implemented in two phases, includes a 20-year concession term, with 5 years for construction and 15 years for operations and maintenance. Revenues are generated through sub-leasing plots to enterprises (DSEZ, 2023).

## Design-Build-Operate (DBO)

In this model, the private sector designs, builds, and operates the project for a specified period before transferring it to the public sector. It is commonly used for projects like power plants and airports.

### Build-Own-Operate-Transfer (BOOT)

Similar to the BOT model, this approach allows the private sector to retain ownership of the project for a specified period before transferring it to the public sector. It is often used in infrastructure projects such as power plants and waste management facilities.

## Potential of PPPs in Industrial Development

## Economic Growth and Industrialization

### **Industrial Base Expansion**

PPPs can significantly enhance Pakistan's industrial base by attracting investment, leveraging private sector efficiency, and fostering innovation.

### **Job Creation**

The development of industrial zones and clusters through PPPs can generate substantial employment opportunities.

### **Technological Advancement**

Collaboration with private entities can introduce advanced technologies and management practices, boosting productivity.

### Infrastructure Development

## **Special Economic Zones (SEZs)**

Establishing SEZs through PPPs can provide the necessary infrastructure and regulatory frameworks conducive to industrial growth.

### **Transportation and Logistics**

PPPs can develop critical infrastructure such as roads, ports, and railways, improving connectivity and reducing logistical costs.

### **Investment Attraction**

### Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)

PPPs can attract FDI by reducing risks associated with large-scale investments

and ensuring sustainable project implementation.

### **Domestic Investment**

Engaging local private firms in PPPs can stimulate domestic investment and entrepreneurship.

## **Economic Impact**

### **GDP Growth**

PPP projects contribute to GDP growth by enhancing industrial output and efficiency.

## **Export Enhancement**

Improved industrial infrastructure and capacity bolster export competitiveness, particularly in textiles and apparel.

## Technological and Skills Development

## **Technology Transfer**

Partnerships with foreign firms often include technology transfer, enhancing local capabilities.

### **Skills Development**

PPP projects frequently involve training programs, improving the skill set of the local workforce.

## Analysis of Government Initiatives and Policies:

This section examines government initiatives and policies in the Public-Private Partnership (PPP) sector, highlighting their current status and effectiveness in fostering collaboration between public and private entities to drive economic development and infrastructure improvements.

### CPEC and Special Economic Zones (SEZs)

The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) serves as a cornerstone of Pakistan's strategy to enhance infrastructure and industrial capacity. Numerous SEZs are being developed under CPEC, where PPPs play a vital role in infrastructure and operational development. The government has introduced incentives, including tax holidays and one-window operations, to attract private investments into these zones.

### National and Provincial PPP Frameworks

Pakistan has established robust legal and institutional frameworks to facilitate PPPs. The Public Private Partnership Authority (PPPA) at the federal level and similar bodies at the provincial level promote and regulate PPP projects. These frameworks aim to ensure transparency, effective risk-sharing, and mutual benefits for all stakeholders.

### Sectoral Development

## **Energy Sector**

PPPs have played a crucial role in addressing Pakistan's chronic energy shortages. Projects like the Thar Coal Power Plant and renewable energy initiatives have seen significant private sector involvement. Policies to attract investment in solar, wind, and hydropower projects have further strengthened this sector.

### Infrastructure

Transportation infrastructure has experienced substantial PPP activity, including projects like the Karachi Circular Railway and Lahore Orange Line Metro Train. Efforts to modernize ports and logistics hubs continue, essential for boosting trade and industrial activities.

### **Industrial and Technological Parks**

PPPs are driving the development of industrial and technology parks to foster innovation and industrial growth. These parks aim to attract foreign direct investment (FDI) and support local SMEs.

## Contribution of PPPs to the Economic Development of Pakistan

Traditionally, PPPs in Pakistan have been particularly prevalent in the energy, power generation, and transportation sectors. In the fiscal year 2019–2020, 17 infrastructure projects involving private investment reached financial closure. The power sector accounted for the largest investment share, with a total investment amount of US\$5 billion. In recent years, however, the government has demonstrated a commitment to expanding PPPs into various other sectors, including aviation, technology, healthcare, tourism, and more. In late 2019, the Prime Minister approved a development plan, expected to run from fiscal year 2020–2023, termed the Public Sector Development Program Plus (PSDP+) initiative, which firmly oriented the government towards PPPs across multiple sectors.

In addition to the federal initiative, each of the four provinces – Sindh, Punjab, Balochistan, and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa – has its own specific roster of projects and policies to promote PPPs.

From 1990 to 2019, a total of 108 infrastructure projects involving private investments reached financial closure. The energy sector accounted for 88% of these projects, with the remaining 12% spread across ports, information and communication technology (ICT), airports, and waste disposal sectors. Of these projects, only one in the energy sector faced distress by 2020. The total investment in the 108 PPP projects is approximately \$28.4 billion (PRs 4.40 trillion at the end of 2019) (Asian Development Bank, 2021).

PPPs are also increasingly prevalent at the provincial level. In July 2020, the Chief Minister of Punjab announced that several new PPPs would be initiated,

including a clean drinking water project in Lahore, a vehicle fitness testing systems project, and a zero-waste material recovery project. By the end of the year, the Asian Development Bank and the government of Punjab had signed a memorandum of understanding to jointly promote PPPs in the healthcare sector. Sindh has multiple ongoing projects, including the Dhabeji Special Economic Zone project and the Education Management Organizations (EMO) project. The EMO project aims to outsource the management of public schools to credible organizations in the education sector, while the Dhabeji project focuses on fostering economic development in a rural part of the province (Munir, 2022).

The transport and energy sectors have seen the most PPP activity, with projects such as the Thar Coal Power Plant and the Lahore Metro Bus System. More recent expansions include initiatives in education (e.g., PIMS Medical College), technology (National Science & Technology Park), and waste management (Lahore Waste Management Company) (Gillette, 2023).

## Legal Framework & Institutional Arrangements

## Legal Framework

A brief description of the legal and institutional framework of the PPP mechanism in Pakistan is given in the below table-I:

Table 1 Types of PPP in Pakistan (Asian Development Bank, 2021)

Types	Types of PPP in Pakistan (Asian Development Bank, 2021)				
Province	Type of PPP				
Federal Govt	Build-operate-transfer, build-own-operate-transfer, design-build-finance-operate, and any other variant of PPP.				
Punjab	Build-transfer, build-lease-transfer, build-operate-transfer, build-own-operate, build- own-operate-transfer, build-transfer-operate, contract-add-operate, develop-operate-transfer, joint venture, management contract, rehabilitate-operate-transfer, rehabilitate- own-operate, and service contract				
Sindh	Build-operate-transfer, design-build-finance-operate, and any other variant of PPP.  Additionally, the following modes are identified in Part IV of the Sindh Public Procurement Rules 2010: service contract, management contract, lease contract, build-own-operate, build-own-operate-transfer, build-lease-transfer, build-transfer, rehabilitate-operate- transfer, and any combination or variation of the above modes or any other arrangement under PPP mode				
Khyber	Build-operate-transfer, build-own-operate-transfer, design-				
Pakhtunkhwa	build-finance-operate, and any other variant of PPP.				

The below table provides a comparison of the legal and institutional framework of the PPP mechanism at the federal and provincial levels in Pakistan.

..

	I	··				
S.	Jurisdiction	Level of	Gap analysis	Level of		
#		sophistication		maturity		
1.	Federal	The Public Private	P3A Act is quite	The authority		
	Government	Partnership	bureaucratic and	has		
		Authority (P3A)	involves multiple	processed		
		works as the PPP	layers of approvals.	multiple toll		
		Unit at the federal	Moreover, the	road projects		
		level.	departments do not	and is		
		The Infrastructure	have adequate	currently		
		Project	capacities to identify,	undertaking		
		Development	screen, prepare, and	projects in		
		Facility (IPDF) was	implement projects in	multiple		
		redesignated in	PPP mode. IPDF used	sectors		
		2018 as the PPP	to engage low-quality	including		
		Authority under	advisors previously	airports,		
		the P3A Act	and therefore several	railways,		
		enacted in 2017.	transactions were not	water		
		Overall, the legal	well-structured.	supply, real		
		and institutional	Moreover, for the	estate		
		framework is	longest time, IPDF	development,		
		largely complete	performed	and		
		and most of the	coordination functions	education		
		processes are	and was less focused	among		
		defined.	on structuring and	others.		
			executing transactions.			
2.	Sindh	Sindh PPP Unit	High employee	Sindh PPP		
		was established	turnover is an issue	Unit has		
		after the enactment	that has been faced by	streamlined		
		of the Sindh PPP	the Unit for quite	its appraisal,		
		Act 2010.	some time.	approvals,		
		It is a reasonably	Currently, there is a	and		
		staffed entity with	cumbersome	monitoring		
		experience in	procedure to renew	processes.		
		processing	contracts with little	The Unit has		
		transactions in the	consideration for	been		
		transport,	talent retention.	operational		
		education, water	Another major issue	for more than		
		supply, and water	faced by the Unit is	14 years and		
		sanitation sectors	the lack of capacities	now has the		
		among others. The	at the level of	institutional		
		Unit has been	departments/ PPP	memory of		
		operating under a	Nodes.	appraising		
		well-established	The majority of the	and		
		legal framework.	departments do not	procuring		
		From the onset, the	have PPP Nodes	projects in		

		Asian Development	established and lack	multiple
		Bank, FCDO, and	professionals who	sectors.
		USAID have	could lead the PPP	sectors.
		assisted in the	transactions.	
		institutional	transactions.	
		development of the		
		PPP ecosystem in		
		Sindh.		
3.	Khyber Pakhtunkh	The PPP Committee headed	PPP Unit's staffing remains a major	Although the PPP Unit has
		by the Chief	impediment.	passed the
	wa	Minister KP	Moreover, for quite	-
		oversees the overall	some time, the	stage of infancy,
		PPP policy,	government did not	however,
		approves	engage renowned	maturity in
		significant projects,	consultants on the	terms of
		and ensures	transactions which	decision-
		alignment with	resulted in suboptimal	making is
		provincial priorities	structuring of	still missing.
		while the PPP Unit	transactions	Moreover,
		in Pⅅ acts as the	undertaken in the	the
		technical arm. The	beginning. PPP Nodes	understandin
		PPP Act 2020	at the department	g of overall
		provides the	level are poorly	project
		inclusion of sectoral	organized and cannot	processing,
		experts to inform	conceive, screen,	liabilities,
		decisions made by	prepare, deliver, and	and
		the Unit vis-à-vis	manage PPP projects.	commercial
		viability of the	Overall, the	terms is
		project.	commercial acumen to	lacking and
			conceive, and	needs to be
			structure PPP	consolidated.
			transactions has been	
			a major issue.	
4.	Punjab	The PPP regime in	Punjab has been an	Despite
		Punjab was	underperformer in	having a
		supported by ADB	terms of public-	well-staffed
		under The Punjab	private partnerships.	PPP
		Public Private	Their	Authority,
		Partnership Act	underperformance can	and PPP Cell,
		2019. The PPP	be attributed to	on account of
		Authority in	multiple factors. One	having very
		Punjab is liable to function as an	of the factors is the unconducive PPP	few success stories, the
		appraisal, oversight, and	regime. The institutional	PPP regime in Punjab
		monitoring body.	responsibilities at	remains
		The authority has	various stages of	relatively less
		processed very few	project processing are	developed.
		projects and did	not clearly defined.	The
	l .	projects and the	not clearly defilled.	1110

		not have political	The existence of the	processes are
		patronage due to	PPP Authority, PPP	not well
		which it is faced	Cell, and Risk	defined in
		with various issues	Management Unit	the statute.
		from time to time.	simultaneously makes	Moreover,
		nom unie to unie.	the regime highly	the issues of
			bureaucratic.	willingness
			Moreover, the	and capacity
			function of	of
			identification,	departments
			screening, and	have
			executing the project	remained a
			lies with the PPP	major issue.
			Authority which limits	Moreover,
			the departmental	the political
			ownership of the	for PPP
			project. Lack of	projects has
			capacity and	remained
			willingness in the line	conspicuous
			departments to	by its
			execute PPPs is	absence.
			another major hurdle.	ucoerice.
5.	Baluchistan	The regime was	The staff in the PPP	The province
	2 di di ci i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	established in 2022	Authority needs to be	has launched
		after the	trained to manage	a few projects
		promulgation of	end-to-end processing	lately. The
		the Baluchistan	of PPP projects.	expeditious
		Public Private	Moreover, quality	uptake of
		Partnership Act,	advisors need to be	transactions
		2021 (Act No. XXV	engaged in their	can be
		of 2021). The	transactions.	ascribed to
		province, with its	Furthermore,	conducive
		limited resources,	engaging departments	guidelines
		has done well to	in the process is	for
		put together a PPP	important to ensure	processing
		regime. The	that PPPs are	unsolicited
		functions of a	integrated into the	proposals.
		typical PPP unit are	overall planning and	However, the
		being discharged	development process.	PPP
		by the PPP		Authority
		Authority. The		will take
		functions of the risk		some time to
		management unit		develop
		are given to an		human
		entity in the		capital
		Finance		capable of
		Department named		running PPP
		as "PPP Unit".		projects.

## Critical Evaluation of PSDP Plus Initiative

The PSDP Plus project (2020–2023) is a development program valued at PKR 5.2 trillion, designed to attract increased private sector funding, both domestically and internationally, to support Pakistan's socioeconomic development. The Ministry of Planning, Development, and Special Initiatives is implementing the project with assistance from the World Bank (Directors, 2020).

## Key Statistics of PSDP Plus

The PSDP Plus projects will be implemented nationwide and are categorized into two main groups:

### 1. Projects with Zero Government Investment

- This category includes 29 projects across 11 sectors, expected to inject PKR 2.9 trillion of direct investment into the economy.
- These investments are projected to generate PKR 1.02 trillion annually in non-tax revenue and PKR 69 billion annually in tax revenue.

## 2. Projects with Limited Government Investment

- o This group comprises 24 projects in seven sectors, supported by Viability Gap Funding (VGF).
- These projects are anticipated to bring in PKR 2.3 trillion in direct investment and approximately PKR 12 billion annually in tax revenue (Khan, 2019).

The key sectors targeted by the PSDP Plus initiative include aviation, industries and production, information and broadcasting, IT and telecommunication, maritime and shipping, communication, railways, the National Highway Authority (NHA), science, technology and innovation (ST&IT), and foreign affairs.

*Table 3 PSDP + Highlights* 

	Projects with Zero	Projects with Limited	
	Government	Government	
	Investment	Investment	
Total Direct Investment	PKR 3.1 trillion	PKR 2.4 trillion	
Total Direct investment	(6 times of PSDP)	(4 times of PSDP)	
<b>Estimated Tax Revenue per</b>	PKR 90 billion	PKR 12.4 billion	
annum	1 KK 90 billion	T KK 12.4 billion	
Estimated Non-tax Revenue	PKR 1.1 trillion	N/A	
per annum	1 KK 1.1 trimon		
Projects	29	24	
Sectors	11	07	

## Issues/ Challenges in PSDP Plus

## 1. Faulty Governance Framework

The PPP governance framework has been inadequate, characterized by cumbersome prioritization and approval processes influenced by vested interests and resource misallocation. Confusion over approvals, procurement corruption, and the absence of clear checklists deter private investment. Weak accountability for missed deadlines and risks arising from corrupt anti-corruption institutions further hinder swift decision-making, discouraging effective project implementation and private-sector participation.

### 2. Irrational Sector Prioritization

Over 80% of PSDP Plus projects are infrastructure-focused, disproportionately benefiting certain social groups. Approximately 40% of these projects' budgets are compromised through commissions, kickbacks, and the use of substandard materials. Project evaluations emphasize short-term inputs or spending rather than long-term growth or outcomes.

### 3. Political Instability

Political unrest in Pakistan disrupts the stable business environment necessary for private investment. It causes delays in project approvals and execution, hindering coordination among stakeholders and government ministries.

## 4. Security Concerns

Investor and investment security in Pakistan is weak due to inefficient arbitration and dispute resolution, complex tax systems and laws, and widespread issues such as theft, robbery, kidnapping, extortion, and bribery.

### 5. Global Economic Meltdown

The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted supply chains, contracted the global economy, and negatively impacted Pakistan's imports, exports, and remittances. This economic downturn reduced funding and implementation capacity for the PSDP Plus program.

### 6. Lack of Collaboration Between Stakeholders

Effective coordination among stakeholders is crucial for the PSDP Plus program's success. Poor coordination in Pakistan leads to duplication, delays, and disputes, risking resource wastage and undermining desired outcomes.

## 7. Climate Change and Natural Disasters

Pakistan's vulnerability to natural disasters and climate change poses significant risks to infrastructure and economic activity. The PSDP Plus program must incorporate safeguards to mitigate these risks and prevent setbacks or delays.

## Comparative Analysis of Initiatives of PPP with Best Practices

Comparing the initiatives taken to promote Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) in Pakistan, India, and the USA provides valuable insights into their respective approaches, challenges, and successes. Each country has adopted distinct strategies to leverage PPPs for infrastructure development and economic growth, tailored to local needs and regulatory environments. Here's a comparative analysis highlighting lessons learned and best practices to inform future policy and implementation efforts.

## Policy Framework

### Pakistan:

Pakistan has actively promoted PPPs through various policy frameworks, including the establishment of the Public-Private Partnership Authority (PPPA) and the enactment of legislation like the PPP Act of 2017. These efforts aim to provide a robust legal and regulatory framework to facilitate PPP projects.

### India:

India has promoted PPPs through initiatives such as the creation of the PPP Appraisal Committee, the Model Concession Agreement framework, and the establishment of the India Infrastructure Finance Company Limited (IIFCL) to provide financial assistance for infrastructure projects.

### USA:

In the USA, PPP promotion varies at the state level. While some states have robust frameworks for PPP projects, others face challenges. The Federal government supports PPPs through initiatives like the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA) and the Private Activity Bonds (PABs) program, which provide financial assistance for infrastructure projects.

### Sectoral Focus

### Pakistan:

Pakistan primarily focuses on infrastructure sectors such as transportation, energy, and water management. Notable projects include the Lahore Metro Bus System and various energy ventures under PPP arrangements.

### India:

India's PPP initiatives span multiple sectors, including transportation, healthcare, education, and renewable energy. Projects like the Delhi Airport Metro Express and the National Highways Development Project illustrate India's diverse PPP portfolio.

### **USA:**

In the USA, PPPs are prominent in transportation infrastructure, including

highways, bridges, and airports. Some states have also leveraged PPPs in healthcare, education, and water infrastructure.

## Challenges

### Pakistan:

Challenges in Pakistan include bureaucratic hurdles, political instability, and legal issues that hinder smooth PPP implementation. Transparency and corruption concerns also pose significant obstacles.

### India:

India's PPP landscape faces challenges related to land acquisition, regulatory clearances, and financing. Delays in project approvals and renegotiation of contracts are common issues.

### USA:

The USA encounters challenges such as funding constraints, political opposition, and public skepticism about privatization. Additionally, navigating complex regulatory environments and managing risks associated with long-term projects remain ongoing difficulties.

### Successes

### Pakistan:

Despite challenges, Pakistan has implemented successful PPP projects, particularly in transportation and energy sectors. The Karachi-Lahore Motorway and the Hub Power Plant are notable examples.

### India:

India has achieved success with projects such as the Mumbai-Pune Expressway and the Delhi Metro. These successes are attributed to innovative financing mechanisms and strong public-private collaboration.

### USA:

Successful PPP projects in the USA include the Denver International Airport and the Port of Miami Tunnel. These projects demonstrate the efficiency of PPPs in delivering infrastructure and leveraging private sector expertise. In summary while Pakistan, India, and the USA have made efforts to promote Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs), each country faces unique challenges and has achieved varying levels of focus and success. Pakistan emphasizes energy and infrastructure development, India concentrates on transportation and urban development, and the USA applies PPPs across diverse sectors, including healthcare and education. By learning from one another, these countries can refine their PPP frameworks, improve regulatory and operational efficiencies, and ensure the successful implementation of future projects. This collaborative approach can drive sustainable economic growth and improve public services globally.

## SWOT Analysis of the PPP Sector in Pakistan

## Strengths

- 1. Policy Support: The government has established frameworks and policies to encourage PPP projects, such as the Public-Private Partnership Authority (P3A).
- 2. Economic Diversification: PPPs help diversify the economy by involving private sector investment in infrastructure, energy, and other essential sectors.
- 3. Risk Sharing: PPPs allow for risk-sharing between the public and private sectors, reducing the financial burden on the government.
- 4. Improved Efficiency: Private sector involvement can lead to increased efficiency, innovation, and higher quality in project execution and management.

## Weaknesses

- 1. Regulatory Challenges: Inconsistent regulatory environments and bureaucratic red tape can hinder the smooth execution of PPP projects.
- 2. Funding Constraints: Limited access to long-term financing and higher borrowing costs can be significant obstacles to PPP initiatives.
- 3. Political Instability: Political instability and frequent changes in government policies can negatively impact the long-term viability of PPP projects.
- 4. Capacity Issues: Both the public and private sectors may lack the necessary technical and managerial capacities to effectively implement and manage PPP projects.

## **Opportunities**

- 1. Infrastructure Development: Significant opportunities exist in sectors like transportation, energy, and urban development, which require substantial investment and modernization.
- 2. Foreign Investment: Attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) through PPPs can boost economic growth and bring in advanced technologies and expertise.
- 3. Economic Growth: With an improving economic outlook, there is potential for increased private sector participation in PPP projects.
- 4. Social Development: PPPs can play a crucial role in enhancing public services, such as healthcare, education, and water supply, thereby improving the quality of life for citizens.

## **Threats**

- 1. Economic Uncertainty: Macroeconomic instability, such as inflation and currency fluctuations, can pose risks to the financial viability of PPP projects.
- 2. Corruption: Corruption and lack of transparency in the procurement and execution of PPP projects can lead to inefficiencies and a loss of public

trust.

- 3. Public Opposition: Resistance from local communities or public opposition to certain projects can cause delays or cancellations.
- 4. Legal and Contractual Issues: Disputes arising from unclear contractual terms, inadequate legal frameworks, or changes in laws can lead to project delays and increased costs.

## PESTEL Analysis for the PPP Sector in Pakistan

-	L	3	•	L	L
Political	Economic	Social	Technologic al	Environmental	Legal
<ul> <li>Stabilit y and transpa rency of govern ment policies</li> <li>Politica l will and commit ment to promot e PPP initiativ es.</li> <li>Regulat ory framew orks governi ng PPP projects and their effectiv eness.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Economic stability and growth prospects impacting investmen t attractiven ess.</li> <li>Availability of funding and financing options for PPP projects.</li> <li>Exchange rate fluctuations and their impact on project costs and revenues.</li> </ul>	Socio-cultural acceptan ce of PPP projects within local commun ities     Labor market dynamic s and availabili ty of skilled workforc e     Social impact assessme nts and consider ations in planning and executio n	<ul> <li>Adoption of modern technolog ies and digitalization in infrastructure development</li> <li>Technological capabilities and readiness of local industries for PPP projects.</li> <li>Integration of innovative solutions for optimizing project efficiency and performance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Environme ntal regulations and compliance requiremen ts for PPP projects</li> <li>Impact of climate change and natural disasters on infrastruct ure resilience</li> <li>Implement ation of sustainable practices and green initiatives in PPP projects.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Legal framewor ks governing PPP contracts, concessio ns, and risk allocation.</li> <li>Transpare ncy and efficiency of legal processes related to PPP project approvals .</li> <li>Resolutio n mechanis ms for disputes and conflicts arising in PPP partnershi ps.o policy framewor k available</li> </ul>

## GAP Analysis for PPP in Pakistan

Regulatory & Capacity Issues

### Current

- Mechanism is bureaucratic
- Multiple layers of approvals.
- Inadequate capacities to identify, screen, prepare, and implement projects in PPP mode

## **Action Plan**

- Review and update existing legislation governing PPPs
- A jurisdictionspecific regulatory framework or a central regulatory authority/ PPP unit be established
- •Senior leadership needs to engage
- Integration of the planning process with the PPP framework

## **Desired**

- •Development of a robust legal and institutional framework
- Sophisticated PPP mechanisms at federal and provincial levels.

### Financial Constraints

### Current

- Limited access of the Private Sector to long-term financing and high borrowing costs
- The capacity of the country's financial institutions is low

### **Action Plan**

- Thorough risk assessments for PPP projects.
- Enhance investor confidence by providing guarantees, insurance mechanisms, and stable regulatory environments
- Revamping of landing regime through the State Bank of Pakistan.
- Relaxation of Per Party Exposure of commercial banks by SBP

### Desired

•Stable regulatory environments to protect against expropriation, currency fluctuations, and policy changes

### ANALYSIS OF ISSUES & CHALLENGES

- Regulatory Hurdles: The PPP mechanism across Pakistan is highly bureaucratic, involving multiple layers of approvals, making project processing extremely challenging.
- Capacity Issues: Both public and private sectors often face constraints in project management and technical expertise. Additionally, government departments lack adequate capacity to identify, screen, prepare, and implement projects under the PPP model.

- **Human Resource Challenges:** Staffing in PPP units remains a significant impediment. The staff often lacks sufficient training and commercial acumen. High employee turnover, particularly in the Sindh PPP Unit, further exacerbates the problem.
- **Deficiency of Professional Technical Experts:** The government has not engaged renowned consultants for transactions, resulting in suboptimal structuring of undertaken projects.
- **Cumbersome Procedures:** The processes from project inception to approval are excessively lengthy, typically taking 9–10 months for project approval under the PPP regime. Additionally, contract awarding involves another time-consuming procedure.
- Lack of Capacity at Unit or Node Level: Many departments lack established PPP Nodes and professionals capable of leading PPP transactions. Meetings are often attended by lower-tier managers, leaving high-level decisions inadequately addressed.
- Unconducive PPP Regime: Institutional responsibilities at various stages of project processing are not clearly defined. For instance, in Punjab, the simultaneous existence of the PPP Authority, PPP Cell, and Risk Management Unit creates excessive bureaucracy. Similarly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa's three-tier approval mechanism adds unnecessary hurdles to project approvals.
- **Poor Node Structure:** PPP Nodes at the departmental level are poorly organized and lack the capacity to conceive, screen, prepare, deliver, and manage PPP projects. The absence of commercial acumen for structuring transactions is a critical issue.
- **Financial Constraints:** The private sector faces limited access to long-term financing and high borrowing costs. Furthermore, the capacity of financial institutions in the country is low, with limited appetite for investing in PPP projects.
- **High-Risk Perception Among Private Sector Investors:** Interest rate fluctuations and high debt ratios deter private sector investment. Additionally, political instability, security concerns, and a lack of ease in doing business discourage private sector participation.
- Inadequate Transparency and Accountability Mechanisms: Transparency and accountability mechanisms are insufficient. The public sector is plagued by corruption and governance issues.

## Regional Best Practices

## Singapore: A PPP Success Story

Singapore is one of the world's largest trading hubs. It consistently ranks as one of the most competitive economies globally and has made significant efforts to streamline its trade processes. TradeXchange®—a neutral platform facilitating interconnectivity between the private sector and regulatory systems—was established to enhance the seamless exchange of data. Private sector Value-Added Service providers were integrated into the platform to

enrich the ecosystem.

The government provided an up-front fee for the development of TradeXchange® and an annual fixed recurring fee for its maintenance. The PPP partner, in contrast, covered the full development, operation, and maintenance costs. Ownership of the system remained with the government, while the PPP partner was contracted to build and operate it for 10 years. To ensure cost recovery and a sustainable operating model, the PPP partner collected usage fees for the system, distinct from duties and taxes, which were paid directly to the government. Currently, TradeNet® can process over 90% of submitted declarations within 10 minutes (Organizations, 2023).

### Conclusion

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) hold significant potential for accelerating industrial development in Pakistan by leveraging private sector expertise, improving infrastructure, and attracting investments. Despite challenges, ongoing projects demonstrate the positive contributions of PPPs to various industrial sectors, fostering economic growth, job creation, and technological advancement. Enhanced regulatory frameworks, innovative financial mechanisms, and robust capacity building are essential to maximize the benefits of PPPs, ensuring sustainable and inclusive industrial development in Pakistan.

### Recommendations

Improving the PPP framework in Pakistan requires a multifaceted approach encompassing legal and regulatory reforms, institutional capacity building, stakeholder engagement, and risk mitigation strategies. Below are recommendations to enhance the PPP framework:

### Clarify Legal and Regulatory Frameworks

- Review and update existing legislation governing PPPs to address ambiguities, streamline processes, and provide clarity on roles, responsibilities, and dispute resolution mechanisms.
- Establish a jurisdiction-specific regulatory framework or a central regulatory authority/PPP unit with the mandate to oversee PPP projects and ensure compliance with best practices.
- Engage senior leadership to emphasize the significance of PPPs and secure political ownership of projects.
- Integrate planning processes with the PPP framework to create a more robust approach to planning and development.

## **Enhance Institutional Capacity**

- Invest in training programs and capacity-building initiatives for government officials involved in PPP project identification, appraisal, procurement, and monitoring.
- Foster collaboration between government agencies, private sector entities, academia, and international partners to leverage expertise, share best practices, and build a knowledge base for PPP implementation.
- Engage high-quality advisors to structure transactions effectively and enhance skill development.
- Revise HR policies to improve contract renewal processes, performance management, and talent retention, reducing employee turnover and enabling continuous resource engagement.

## Enhance Development Through Strategic Public Funding

- Revive programs like PSDP Plus to minimize public fund usage and encourage private-sector investment.
- Utilize forums like the Special Investment Facilitation Council (SIFC) to promote private sector involvement in infrastructure projects.

## Mitigate Financial and Political Risks

- Conduct thorough risk assessments for PPP projects, including financial, political, regulatory, and operational risks, and develop mitigation strategies.
- Enhance investor confidence through guarantees, insurance mechanisms, and stable regulatory environments to address risks such as expropriation, currency fluctuations, and policy changes.
- Revamp the lending regime through the State Bank of Pakistan to encourage private-sector confidence by relaxing legal lending limits and offering more loans for PPP projects.
- Introduce special financial products, such as hedging contracts, to mitigate risk for private investors.

### Improve Financial Viability

- Provide Viability Gap Funding (VGF) to economically justified but financially unviable PPP projects, making them attractive to private investors.
- Develop local capital markets and introduce long-term financing instruments to ensure sustainable funding sources.

### **Promote International Collaboration**

- Collaborate with international organizations and countries with successful PPP models to adapt best practices to Pakistan's context.
- Create favorable conditions for foreign investors through tax incentives, streamlined processes, and protection against political and financial risks.

## Encourage Innovation and Technology Adoption

- Embrace innovative financing mechanisms, such as green bonds, Islamic finance, and public venture capital funds, to attract diverse investment sources.
- Leverage digital technologies, data analytics, and artificial intelligence to optimize project planning, design, construction, and operation, enhancing efficiency and reducing costs.

## Promote Public Engagement and Stakeholder Consultation

- Engage local communities, civil society organizations, and other stakeholders to align projects with social and environmental priorities.
- Establish public-private dialogue mechanisms to facilitate collaboration, resolve conflicts, and build consensus on project objectives and strategies.

## Sector-Specific Strategies

- Focus on infrastructure sectors such as transportation, energy, and water management, where PPPs can significantly improve service delivery and efficiency.
- Promote PPPs in healthcare and education to enhance access and quality, leveraging private sector expertise and investment.

## Ensure Sustainability and Social Inclusion

- Integrate environmental protection, climate resilience, and social inclusion principles into PPP project design and implementation.
- Prioritize projects addressing critical societal needs, such as healthcare, education, and water supply, to promote inclusive and equitable development.

### Improve Transparency and Accountability

- Strengthen procurement processes to ensure transparency, fairness, and competitiveness in selecting private partners.
- Establish "Ease of Doing Business" centers at federal and provincial investment supervisory authorities to streamline permits and approvals.
- Implement digital platforms providing real-time data on PPP projects, including bidding processes, contract awards, project progress, and financials, to build public trust.
- Conduct independent audits and evaluations regularly to ensure accountability and performance monitoring.
- Develop feedback mechanisms to gather stakeholder insights, including beneficiaries, for continuous improvement.

## Log Framework Matrix Goal I: Improved Capacity for effective PPP implementation in Pakistan

Activities / Actions	KPIs	Means of Verificatio n	Cost & Source of Fund- ing	Executing Agency	Time- frame
Enhanced understand ing and skills of PPP stakeholder s	<ul> <li>Increa se in the number of successful PPP projects implemented in Pakistan</li> <li>Perce ntage increase in the number of PPP professionals trained</li> </ul>	Records from the Pakistan PPP Authority / Provincial PPP Units	PSDP Donor Fundi ng	Pakistan PPP Authority / Provincial PPP Units	28 days
Developed training programs and materials	<ul> <li>Numb er of training modules developed and disseminated</li> <li>Numb er of trained PPP experts hired</li> <li>Numb er of people trained</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Tra ining materials, distributio n records,</li> <li>Ev ent reports, participant feedback forms</li> </ul>	PSDP Donor Fundi ng	Pakistan PPP Authority / Provincial PPP Units	45 days

Goal II: To Establish a Jurisdiction-Specific Regulatory Framework

Activitie s/ Actions	KPIs	Means of Verificatio n	Cost & Sourc e of Fundi ng	Executi ng Agency	Timefra me
Establish a sustainab le Public-Private Partnersh ips (PPPs) regime in Pakistan	<ul> <li>Approval of concept note</li> <li>Approval from Cabinet</li> <li>Establishment of PPP regulatory authority with a defined structure and functions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copies of approved policy documents, organizatio nal structure charts</li> <li>An nual reports from the PPP Regulatory Authority</li> </ul>	PSDP Donor Fundi ng	Pakistan PPP Authorit y/ Provinci al PPP Units	90 days

Goal III: Efficient Use of Financial Resources and Increased Private-Sector Investment in Large-Scale Public-Sector Projects

Activitie s/ Actions	KPIs	Means of Verificatio n	Cost & Source of Fundin g	Executing Agency	Timefram e
Revival of the PSDP Plus program	number of new projects included induced investmen t figures	PSDP+ document Copies of approved policy documents	No funding	Pakistan PPP Authority / Provincial PPP Units	90 days

## References

- 1. Asian Development Bank. (2021). Public-Private Partnership Monitor in Pakistan. Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- 2. Board of Directors. (2020). PSDP Plus. Retrieved April 8, 2023, from Public-Private Partnership Authority: https://p3a.gov.pk/psdp.php.
- 3. Dhabeji Special Economic Zone (DSEZ). (2023). Dhabeji brochure. Retrieved from https://dhabejisez.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/Dhabeji-Brochure.pdf.
- 4. Frontier Works Organization. (2024). Retrieved from Pakistan Highway Authority: http://www.pkha.gov.pk.
- 5. Gillette, R. B. (2023, August 11). Public-Private Partnerships in Pakistan 2023. Retrieved from RIAA Barker Gillette: https://riaabarkergillette.com/pk/insight-article/public-private-partnerships-in-pakistan-2023/.
- 6. Khan, M. Z. (2019, December 15). Public-Private Partnerships in Pakistan. Retrieved from Dawn News: https://www.dawn.com/news/1522352.
- 7. Morishita, Y. (2021). Public-Private Partnership Monitor Pakistan. Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- 8. Munir, D. (2022). A general introduction to public-private partnerships in Pakistan.
- 9. National Parliament. (2017). Public-Private Partnership Authority Act, 2017. Retrieved April 7, 2023, from Senate of Pakistan: https://www.senate.gov.pk/uploads/documents/1489057605\_617.pdf.
- 10. Public-Private Partnership Authority (P3A). (2021). Public-Private Partnership Authority Working Party Rules, 2021. Retrieved April 7, 2023, from https://p3a.gov.pk/laws/rules/P3A%20Working%20Party%20Rules%2
- 02021.pdf.
  11. Public-Private Partnership Authority (P3A). (2024). Retrieved from https://www.p3a.gov.pk.
- 12. Punjab Public-Private Partnership Authority. (2022). Dualization of Sheikhupura-Gujranwala Road. Retrieved from https://p4a.punjab.gov.pk/sheikhupura-gujranwala-road.
- 13. SEED. (n.d.). Strengthening Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Retrieved from https://seed-pk.com/strengthening-public-private-partnerships-ppp-in-khyber-pakhtunkhwa/.
- 14. World Customs Organizations. (2023). Introducing Public-Private Partnerships. Retrieved from https://www.wcoomd.org/.